

**IPBES template for the submission of requests, inputs and suggestions on short-term priorities and longer term strategic needs that require attention and action by IPBES as part of its future work programme.**

Name and contact details of individual submitting requests/inputs/suggestions: **Timothy Oluwafemi Ajiboye; National Centre for Genetic Resources and Biotechnology (NACGRAB), Moor Plantation, Apata, P.M.B 5382, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.**

Date of submission:

Submission from: IPBES member: www.ipbes.net/users/promise

Observer allowed enhanced participation in line with decision IPBES-5/4:

MEA(s): \_\_\_\_\_

United Nations body: \_\_\_\_\_

Expert on, and holder of, indigenous and local knowledge: \_\_\_\_\_

Other Stakeholder(s): Stakeholder

Please provide the following information for any request and, where relevant, for any inputs and suggestions (additional attachments can also be submitted):

**Request/input/suggestion:**

<b>Information to accompany requests submitted to the Platform (see also Decision IPBES-1/3 Procedure for receiving and prioritizing requests put to the Platform):</b>	
<b>Africa Regional Conservation of forest trees and shrubs that are of economic importance and/or provide food and medicine.</b>	
1.	Relevance to the objective, functions and work programme of IPBES: <b>This is very relevant</b>
2.	Urgency of action by IPBES in the light of the imminence of the risks caused by the issues to be addressed by such action: <b>It is urgent because many of them are endangered and many are going towards extinction.</b>
3.	Relevance of the requested action in addressing specific policies or processes: <b>The action is the solution to the problem.</b>
4.	Geographic scope of the requested action, as well as issues to be covered by such action: <b>Africa</b>
5.	Anticipated level of complexity of the issues to be addressed by the requested action: <b>Depends on the individual country in the region</b>
6.	Previous work and existing initiatives of a similar nature and evidence of remaining gaps, such as the absence or limited availability of information and tools to address the issues, and reasons why IPBES is best suited to take action: <b>Similar work has been done for just one</b>

	<b>species in Nigeria by ICRAF , that is conservation of <i>Irvingia</i> Species at National Centre for Genetic Resources and Biotechnology(NACGRAB), Ibadan, Nigeria. Other endanger species need to be addressed by conservation just like <i>Irvingia</i>. IPBES is best suited for it because of the scope,expertise and financial implication of te project.</b>
7.	Availability of scientific literature and expertise for IPBES to undertake the requested action: <b>Yes</b>
8.	Scale of the potential impacts, and potential beneficiaries of the requested action: <b>Impact and beneficiaries is global.</b>
9.	Requirements for financial and human resources, and potential duration of the requested action: <b>Estimated amount is about \$1million, IPBES experts can adequately handle the project and potential duration is five (5) years.</b>
10.	An identification of priorities within multiple requests submitted: <b>Any of the species can be placed first based on the judgment of IPBES experts.</b>
11.	Any other relevant information (including a list of any attachments provided): <b>The project impact can have multiplier effect on education, research, food security, environmental sustainability and health care delivery in Africa and the world at large.</b>